IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 28TH MARCH 2007 / 7TH CHAITHRA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 255 of 2007(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN OP.32091/2002 Dated 24/10/2005

.....

PETITIONERS/PETITIONERS 2 AND 3

---

1. T.T.THANKACHAN, AGED 61 YEARS,
   S/O.THOMAS, RETIRED POLICE CONSTABLE,
   THYIL VEEDU, MANGARAM, KONNI.

2. K.T.THANKACHAN, AGED 61 YEARS,
   S/O.THOMAS, RETIRED HEAD CONSTABLE,
   KAKKUNNATHU VEEDU, R.PERUNAD P.O.

BY ADV. SRI.V.PHILIP MATHEW

RESPONDENTS: 2ND RESPONDENT IN THE O.P.

RAMAN SRIVASTAVA,
AGED : NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
S/O.NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
POLICE HEAD QUARTERS, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADERSHRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 28/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K. DENESAN, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
C.O.C. No. 255 OF 2007 S
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 28th March, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Affidavit filed by the respondent shows that the directions issued in Annexure-10 judgment have been complied with. The action taken to redress the grievance of the petitioners has been mentioned in the latter part of paragraph 4 of the affidavit. Govt. Pleader submits that consequential benefits also will be made available to the petitioner and action has already been taken in that direction.

2. The respondent has not, however, complied with the directions within the time stipulated by this Court. In paragraph 5 of the affidavit the reasons which stood in the way of taking action within the time limit have been stated and the respondent has tendered unconditional apology for the delay caused. Accepting the explanation offered as also the unconditional apology, C.O.C. is closed.

K.K. DENESAN
JUDGE

jan/